VVA

WELLS + ASSOCIATES

MEMORANDUM

To:	Stephanie Rogers, P.E. City of Falls Church Department of Public Works
From:	William F. Johnson, P.E.
Re:	Founders Row (formerly Mason Row) Trip Generation Analysis
Date:	April 3, 2017

11220 Assett Loop Suite 202 Manassas, Virginia 20109 703-365-9262 703-917-0739 FAX

www.WellsandAssociates.com

The intent of this memorandum is to provide a trip generation analysis in support of a proposed amendment to the approved Founders Row (previously Mason Row) development plan. Specifically, the Applicant proposes to revise the development to delete the previously approved hotel component and instead develop active adult residential units. The other components of the site, including the retail, theater, office, and multifamily components would remain a part of the development consistent with current approvals. Furthermore, the layout of the site, including building design/orientation and site access would remain largely unchanged from the approved development plan.

Founders Row, as approved by the Falls Church City Council on January 11, 2016, is envisioned as a vibrant mixed-use center consisting of complementary retail, office, hotel, and residential uses. In support of the applications approved by Council, Wells + Associates prepared a Traffic Impact Study (TIS) dated January 22, 2014 as revised through July 24, 2015. The TIS reflected the following development program:

- 145 Room Hotel
- 340 Multifamily Residential Units
- 5,317 Gross Square Feet (GSF) Office Use
- 42,394 GSF Theater
- 63,147 GSF Retail Use

The trip generation analysis from the TIS is provided for reference as Attachment I. Based on the traffic impacts evaluated in the TIS and reflective of the above development program, the Applicant committed to a number of transportation improvements/enhancements which are represented in the Voluntary Concessions (VC's) approved by Council.



WELLS + ASSOCIATES

MEMORANDUM

The Applicant now proposes to amend the development plan in order to replace the hotel use with active adult residential uses. The resulting amended development program is provided as follows:

- 72 Active Adult Housing Units
- 322 Multifamily Residential Units
- 5,317 GSF Office Use
- 33,000 GSF Theater
- 62,500 GSF Retail Use

It should be noted that the above program reflects modifications to site intensities for the other (non-hotel) land use components subsequent to the TIS submission. However, those intensities conform to the currently approved development plan.

Table 1 provides a trip generation analysis of the amended program. The analysis was performed using the same methodologies employed in the TIS, including the use of ITE trip generation rates, as well as trip reduction factors scoped and agreed to with City staff upon initiation of the original TIS. When compared to the trip generation analysis associated with the TIS, the amended program generates 82 fewer AM peak hour trips and 127 fewer PM peak hour trips. Therefore, the amended development plan will result in less of a traffic impact than that evaluated in the original TIS associated with the Property.

The Applicant does not propose to amend any of the Voluntary Concessions associated with the transportation improvements/enhancements currently committed. Therefore, for purposes of this amended development plan and in the opinion of Wells + Associates, the results of previously conducted TIS for Founders Row should be considered conservative and no new traffic study is necessary.

Please feel free to contact Will Johnson at 703.365.9262 or at wfjohnson@wellsandassociates.com for any questions related to this matter.

Attachment: a/s

Table I Founders Row Site Trip Generation Analysis ⁽¹⁾

	Land Use			A	M Peak Ho	ur		E	M Peak Ho	ur		Average	
Scenario	Code	Amount	Units	In	Out	Total		In	Out	Total		Daily Trip	s
Existing Driveway Counts				106	110	216		42	78	120		1200	
Proposed Uses													_
Residential Uses				_	-				-				
Senior Adult Housing-Attached	310	72	dwelling units	5	9	14		10	8	18		248	
Apartment	220	322	dwelling units	32	130	162		127	<u>68</u>	195		2,075	
Residential Subtotal				37	139	176		137	76	213		2323	
Retail/Residential Internal Allowance (5% AM/10% PM)				<u>(2)</u>	<u>(6)</u>	<u>(8)</u>		<u>(10)</u>	<u>(8)</u>	<u>(18)</u>		<u>(348)</u>	
Residential External Trips				35	133	168		127	68	195		1,975	
5% Residential Mode Split	_			<u>(2)</u>	<u>(7)</u>	<u>(8)</u>		<u>(6)</u>	<u>(3)</u>	<u>(10)</u>		<u>(99)</u>	
	To	tal Resident	ial Vehicle Trips	33	126	160		121	65	185		1,876	
Office Uses	710	5,317	GSF	7	I	8	(2)	Ι	7	8	(2)	141	
Theater Uses	444	33,000	GSF	0	0	0		80	45	125		1,045	(3)
Specialty Retail Center	826	62,500	GSF	<u>111</u>	120	231	(4)	<u>75</u>	<u>96</u>	171		2,770	
Retail Subtotal				111	120	231		75	96	171		2770	
Retail/Residential Internal Allowance (5% AM/10% PM)				(6)	(2)	(8)		(8)	(10)	(18)		(348)	
Retail External Trips				105	118	223		67	86	153		2.422	
Pass-by Trips (25%)				(26)	(30)	(56)		(17)	(21)	(38)		(606)	
	Total No	on-Resident	ial Vehicle Trips	86	89	175		131	117	248		3,002	
Tota	l Site Trips			119	215	335		252	182	433		4 878	
Total Site Trips from July 2015 T	raffic Study	,		167	250	417		319	240	560		5,874	

Note(s):

(I) Trip generation based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers' <u>Trip Generation</u>, 9th Edition.

(2) It is noted in <u>Trip Generation</u> that "some of the regression curves plotted for this land use may produce illogical trip-end estimates for small office buildings". Thus, for this analysis, the rates have been used for the peak hour office trip generation.

(3) Average Daily Trips based on number of screens.

(4) AM peak hour of adjacent street rate based on (AM peak hour of generator rate X (PM peak hour of adjacent street rate / PM peak hour of generator rate))

Table 6-1 Mason Row Site Trip Generation Analysis ⁽¹⁾

	Land Use			A	M Peak Hou	JI		ΡM	eak Hour		Aver	age
Scenario	Code	Amount	Units	Ч	Out	Total		Ч	Out	Total	Daily .	Trips
Existing Driveway Counts				901	011	216	•	42	78	120	12(Q
Proposed Uses												
Residential/Hotel Uses												
Hotel	310	145	occupied rooms	56	4	67		50	52	102	1,2	33
Apartment	220	340	dwelling units	34	136	170	-1	33	72	205	2,12	2
Residential/Hotel Subtotal				90	177	267	_	83	124	307	347	7
Retail/Residential Internal Allowance (5% AM/10% PM)				(5)	(9)	(11)	J	(0)	(8)	(18)	(42	ſċ
Residential/Hotel External Trips				85	171	256	-	73	116	289	3,05	7
5% Residential/Hotel Mode Split				(4)	(6)	(13)	9	(6	(9)	(14)	(15	()
	Total Resi	dential/Hot	el Vehicle Trips	8	162	243	-	64	011	275	2,9	14
Office Uses	710	5,317	GSF	7	_	œ	(2)	_	7	8	2) 14	_
Theater Uses	444	42.394	GSF	0	0	0	_	03	58	19	0	H5 (3)
Specialty Retail Center	826	63,147	GSF	112	121	233	(4)	76	<u>76</u>	173	2,7	6
Retail Subtotal				112	121	233		76	97	173	279	6
Retail/Residential Internal Allowance (5% AM/10% PM)				(9)	(5)	(11)	J	(8)	(01)	(18)	(42	(1
Retail External Trips				901	911	222	v	8	87	155	2,37	6
Pass-by Trips (25%)				(27)	(29)	(56)	J	[7]	(22)	(39)	(59	2)
	Total No	n-Residenti	al Vehicle Trips	86	88	174	-	55	130	285	2,97	0
Total	I Site Trips			167	250	417	m	6	240	560	5,8	14
Total Net	t New Trips			61	140	201	2	77	162	440	4,6	74
Nrts(s)												

Vote(s):

(1) Trip generation based on the Institute of Transportation Engineers' Trip Generation, 9th Edition.

(2) It is noted in Trip Generation that "some of the regression curves plotted for this land use may produce illogical trip-end estimates for small office buildings". Thus, for this analysis, the rates have been used for the peak hour office trip generation.

(3) Average Daily Trips based on number of screens.
(4) AM peak hour of adjacent street rate based on (AM peak hour of generator rate X (PM peak hour of adjacent street rate / PM peak hour of generator rate)

Wells + Associates, Inc. Manassas, Virginia